Overview of 2019 Supreme Court Term

Professor David Schultz, Political Science, Hamline University, University of Minnesota School of Law

Observations and Highlights

- Exit Kennedy enter Kavanaugh
- Court moves to the right?
- Roberts as the swing?
- Supremes Go Remote
- The Trump Impact (so far)
- Will RBG hold on?

Nice Work If you Can Get It: Comparing the 2018 and 2019 Docket

- ▶ 2018 Term
 - 72 Merit opinions released
 - 66 opinions after orals
 - 1 per curiam
 - 5 summary reversals

2019 Term

61 Merit opinions released

53 Opinions after orals

2 per curiam

5 summary reversals

Case Composition

- ▶ 100% certiorari
- ▶ 82% from US Court of Appeals/18% from state courts
- ▶ 87% paid/13% in-forma pauperis
- ▶ 79% civil/17% criminal/3% habeas

Case Dispositions

Jurisdiction	Affirmed	Reversed	Affirmed Percentage
CA1	0	1	0%
CA2	2	6	25%
CA3	2	2	50%
CA4	3	1	75%
CA5	1	6	14%
CA6	3	0	100%
CA7	0	1	0%
CA8	1	0	100%
CA9	1	9	10%
CA 10	3	2	33%
CA 11	1	4	43%
CA DC	1	2	33%
CA Fed	1	3	25%
State	3	8	27%
Total	22	45	33%

Fastest (and slowest) Justice in the East

- Fastest:
 - Ginsburg, Shular v. United States, 36 days
- Slowest
 - Gorsuch, Bostock v. Clayton County, 251 days.

Chatter from the Bench: Average Numbers of Questions Per Orals

Justice	Average
justice	nverage
Roberts	12.6
Thomas	0
Thomas	O
Ginsburg	9.4
Breyer	19.7
Alito	13.8
Alito	13.0
Sotomayor	21.2
Kagan	15.4
- C	
Gorsuch	14.2
Kavanaugh	16.7

2019Term Voting % Agreement: Split Decisions (full/partial/judgment

	Thomas	Ginsburg	Breyer	Alito	Sotomayor	Kagan	Gorsuch	Kavanaugh
Roberts	55%	55%	61%	63%	53%	68%	76%	89%
Thomas		21%	26%	87%	13%	22%	68%	66%
Ginsburg			89%	24%	82%	78%	42%	50%
Breyer				29%	76%	84%	47%	55%
Alito					16%	30%	66%	68%
Sotomayor						81%	45%	47%
Kagan							49%	57%
Gorsuch								82%
Kavanaugh								

Voting Alignments Among Justices: All Cases

- Agreements
 - Roberts-Kavanaugh93%
 - Ginsburg-Breyer 93%
 - Alito-Thomas-92%

- Lowest Agreements
 - Sotomayor-Thomas 45%
 - Alito-Sotomayor 47%
 - Thomas-Ginsburg 50%

Voting Alignment Among Justices: Divided Cases

- Agreements
 - Roberts-Kavanaugh 89%
 - Ginsburg-Breyer 89%
 - Alito-Thomas-87%

- Lowest Agreements
 - Sotomayor-Thomas 13%
 - Alito-Sotomayor 16%
 - Thomas-Ginsburg 21%

5-4 Cases

Term	Number 5-4 opinions	Percentage		Ideological Conservative Victory of 5-4	Overall Conservative Victory
OT 18	20	28%	80%	50%	40%
ОТ 19	13	21%	92%	75%	69%
15 Year Ave	15	21%	76%	59%	45%

Opinion Writing 2019 Term

Justice	Majority	Concurrence	Dissent
Roberts	7		
Thomas	5		
Ginsburg	6		
Breyer	5	2	6
Alito	5	7	11
Sotomayor	5	9	7
Kagan	6	3	1
Gorsuch	7	3	3
Kavanaugh	6	5	3

Majority Rules: Percentage in Majority

Justice	All cases	Split Cases	5-4 cases
Justice	All cases	spirt cases	5-4 cases
Roberts	97%	95%	92%
Thomas	72%	55%	69%
Ginsburg	75%	61%	23%
Breyer	78%	66%	23%
Alito	73%	58%	69%
Sotomayor	73%		
Sotomayor	7370	3070	3170
Kagan	80%	68%	33%
Gorsuch	89%	82%	85%
Kavanaugh	93%	89%	77%

Roberts as the Swing Justice?

- ▶ 90%+ in majority for all types of decisions
- Most majority opinions(7)
- Wrote the most 5-4 opinions (4)



Kavanaugh's Impact

- Overall in majority 93 % in all cases
- Wrote four of the 5-4
 opinions (tied with Roberts
 for first)
- In majority 89% for divided cases
- Most likely to agree with Roberts (89%)
- Least likely to agree with Sotomayor (47%)



The Kavanaugh Factor

- Gorsuch did not change voting alignment of Court when replacing Scalia
- Did Kavanaugh change the voting alignment?

What Happens When Orals Change?

- For the weeks of May 4, and May 11, 2020 Supreme Court scheduled orals for telephone and live stream audio
- Ten cases were argued (had to be rescheduled because of the pandemic)

- Process
 - Orals take place remotely
 - Justices cannot interrupt and questions asked by each Justice in terms of seniority after the Chief Justice (Thomas went after Roberts)
 - Chief Justice would moderate questions to make sure they are on time

What happened during those two weeks?

- Thomas asked questions that other Justices reacted to.
- Some indications that Roberts cut off others (females and liberals) to maintain time schedule
- Some attorneys worked from home or office.
- Dressed up or standing?



Mishaps

- Justices forgetting to turn audio on or off
- Connectivity problems
- A flushed toilet (no one will fess up!)



The Supreme Flush?

Did it affect the Outcome of Cases?

- 8-9526, McGirt v. Oklahoma
- 5-4- Gorsuch
- ▶ 19-46, United States Patent and Trademark Office v. Booking.com B.V.
- 8-1 Ginsburg
- ▶ 19-177, Agency for International Development v. Alliance for Open Society International, Inc.
- 5-3 Kavanaugh
- 19-267, Our Lady of Guadalupe School v. Morrissey-Berru, and 19-348, St. James School v. Biel
- > 7-2 Alito
- 19-431, Little Sisters of the Poor Saints Peter and Paul Home v. Pennsylvania, and 19-454, Trump v. Pennsylvania
- > 7-2 Thomas

- 19-465, Chiafalo v. Washington
- ▶ 9-0 Kagan
- 19-518, Colorado Department of State v. Baca
- 9-0 Kagan
- ▶ 19-631, Barr v. American Association of Political Consultants, Inc.
- 6-3 Kavanaugh
- 19-635, Trump v. Vance
- > 7-2 Roberts
- 19-715, Trump v. Mazars USA, LLP, and 19-760, Trump v. Deutsche Bank AG
- > 7-2 Roberts

Only 10 cases

No clear patterns

Important 2019 Term Decisions (my selection)

Ramos v. Louisiana

 Sixth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution requires that guilty verdicts for criminal trials be unanimous. Overturns previous constitutional precedent *Apodaca v. Oregon* (1972).

Trump v. Mazars

 Congressional subpoenas to the president may be enforceable but lower court failed to consider separation of powers of issues when granting it. Remanded to lower court for reconsideration.

Trump v. Vance

 State grant jury request for presidents tax records as part of a criminal investigation upheld because everyone is entitled to everyone else's evidence.

Chiafalo v. Washington and Colorado Department of State v. Baca

• The Constitution gives states broad authority to regulate and fine "faithless" electors in voting for president via the electoral college. Binding electors ensures predictability.

McGirt v. Oklahoma

 Much of eastern Oklahoma remains part of Native American land and therefore for the purposes of the Major Crimes Act, a Native-American person who committed various sexual crimes in that area should be tried in federal and not state court.

Top Criminal Decisions II: 2019 Term

Holguin-Hernandez v. United States

Petitioner's district-court argument for a specific sentence (nothing or less than 12 months) preserved his claim on appeal that the sentence imposed was unreasonably long

Kansas v. Glover

 It is not a Fourth Amendment violation when a police officer lacks information negating an inference that the owner is driving a vehicle, runs a vehicle's license plate and learning that the registered owner's driver's license has been revoked.

Shular v. United States

 Under the Armed Career Criminal Act of 1984, the definition of "serious drug offense" requires only that the state offense involve the conduct specified in the statute.

Questions?

Thank you!